Structure/Structured/ Projectional Editors

CS294-184: Building User-Centered Programming Tools UC Berkeley Sarah E. Chasins

Structure Editors Week, Day 1



Quick facts before today's discussion

- CS performance is not bimodal
- Students who use projectional editors vs. textual editors perform equivalently on tests of CS knowledge
- For novices to a given language (not necessarily to CS!), projectional editors make users more productive

discussion today if we go over these before we start chatting :)

More on all of these in Thursday's class, but we'll have a more productive

Discuss in groups

- What do you think is the difference between a visual editor and a projectional editor (if any)?
- Based on the videos:
 - What kinds of errors are projectional editors preventing?
 - What kinds of errors are projectional editors not preventing?
 - experience?

Reading Reflection

• If you've ever used a projectional editor (or a projectional editing mode in a textual editor), what did you like or dislike about the

projectional editor

structure editor structured editor



Compiler

code generator				
1	<pre>square(int):</pre>			
2	push	rbp		
3	mov	rbp, rsp		
4	mov	DWORD PTR [rbp-4], edi		
5	mov	eax, DWORD PTR [rbp-4]		
6	imul	eax, eax		
7	рор	rbp		
8	ret			

Assembly Language



What's happening inside my

WHAT'S ALL THIS??

3

8

erator

fun

return int *num* int X num num

<pre>square(int):</pre>	
push	rbp
mov	rbp, rsp
mov	DWORD PTR [rbp-4], edi
mov	eax, DWORD PTR [rbp-4]
imul	eax, eax
pop	rbp
ret	

Assembly Language

Compiler

What's happening inside my compiler?





code generator

Assembly Language

Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)



Abstract because we're not putting in every detail of the actual programming language syntax. (E.g., we've dropped all those pesky semicolons and parens.)

Syntax because we're representing the syntactic structure of the code in question.

Tree because...well, obvious. But look, we got to throw away a bunch of parens and other grouping things because it's all in the tree structure now!

Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)



Programs are data! We can mess with them!

...and we can build them up directly. We don't *have* to write in a textual programming language and use a parser to recover this structure.

Projectional Editor

An editor where you're building up the AST directly.

People can argue about the meaning of "directly." How far does it have to be from the actual AST before it stops being a projectional editor? But basically it's just a judgment call.

Projectional isn't a feature of the programming language

It's a feature of the programming environment!

Basically, it's a matter of what editor we're using to build up programs in the language.

```
1 import weather
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
з
4
5 celsius_temperatures = []
6 for t in weather.get_forecasts("Miami, FL"):
      celsius = (t - 32) / 1.8
7
      celsius_temperatures.append(celsius)
8
9 plt.title("Celsius Temperatures of Miami")
10 plt.plot(celsius_temperatures)
11 plt.show()
```

Python

...also Python

BlockPy/Kennel/Silicon

Feedback:

Run To text Properties Decisions Iteration Functions Calculation Output Values Lists Dictionaries Data - Weather Data - Stock Data - Earthquakes Data - Crime Data - Books

set celsius	temperatures • = 1 💿 create empty list
for each iter	n 🔝 in list 📔 get forecasted temperatures in Miar
do set 🖸	elsius • = 1 • • 32 • • 1.8
apper	d item celsius to list celsius temperatures
make plot's	title 🤐 (Celsius Temperatures of Miami) 🥺
plot line 👔	celsius_temperatures *
show plot ca	anvas



Property	Туре	Value
celsius_temperatures	List	[23, 14, 24, 15, 26, 17, 27, 18, 27, 18]
t	Integer	68
celsius	Integer	18



Both of those were Python—same language.

One editor was clearly textual, and one editor was clearly visual.

One editor was (probably) non-projectional, and one editor was clearly projectional.

Programming Language: For our purposes today, a code generator that takes ASTs as input

Programming Environment: The tool or tools we use for building up those ASTs

Why do people get this confused?

Probably just because there are some languages that have only one interpreter, and the interpreter is embedded in a custom visual editor. If no one has written a parser for a textbased version of a given language, a visual environment may be the only way to write programs in it.

Examples

Snap! : Both a programming language and a paired programming environment

Scratch : Same deal, both a programming language and a paired programming environment

Blockly : A library for making programming environments for whatever language you want





Projectional Editor vs. Visual Editor

Projectional Editor: Any editor (can be textual or visual) in which we build up programs by interacting directly with ASTs

Visual Editor: Any editor (can be projectional or nonprojectional) in which we build programs by any means other than typing text in a textbox

Visual but not projectional

https://bubble.io/

build and run web applications without code



Visual but not projectional

Here are all the rules in Creator for "99 Bottles of Root Beer":

















Stagecast CreatorTM

allows adults and children as young as 8 to build their own simulations and games























... and pass it around





Non-Projectional

Visua





walk right	→	
Take one down	→ 1	
L Walk left	→	
Start passing	→	<mark>@ 1 @</mark>
😨 占 Drink	→	
and pass it a	-> around	
Dispose prope	→ rly	

Projectional



syntax errors vs. logical errors

Before we switch to activity time...

Reminder to be working on final projects!!!

https://snap.berkeley.edu/snap/snap.html

https://snap.berkeley.edu/snap/help/ <u>SnapManual.pdf</u>

Snap! Activity

We're about to build some small extensions to a language that has a single interpreter that lives in a projectional editor.

Notes:

- used in projectional editors).
- and building abstractions for use in a projectional editor at the same time!
- Snap! landscape a bit.

Snap! Activity

• This isn't usually how people implement languages (even for languages intended to be

• However! It gives us a 2 birds 1 stone thing—we can experience using a projectional editor

• Intentionally slightly less directed than our usual activities, in hopes y'all will explore the

- One tip before we get started.
 - the available blocks.

Snap! Activity

 This seems like it shouldn't matter, but it can get annoying, and no one ever figures it out themselves... If you end up with a "variable watcher" in the "stage" (white box in upper right) that you don't want to show anymore, and you can't get rid of it, drag it to the toolbox on the left that shows all

λ <u>Snap</u> !		*	databas
Motion	Control	${\mathfrak O}$	2
Looks	Sensing	$\Leftrightarrow \sum$	
Sound	Operators	 -	•
Pen	Variables	Sc	ripts C
combine 🗏 usi	ng 🕕 p		
for each liter			
			set db
			add list
append 目目 ◀			
add thing to 🗐			add
delete 1 v of			
insert thing at	1 ▼ of 目		
replace item	1→ of 目 with thin	n	
Make a block			
table			
add 📕 to 📕	C		





se_in_class_assignment		
orite		
draggable		
ostumes Sounds		
to table		
bella 17 2 () to db		
edward 104 1 + to db		
nn names of db to list name age other ()		
nn names of db to list name age num_suitors	••	

<mark>λSnap</mark> !	\bigcirc	*	databa	se_in_cla	ss_ass
Motion Looks Sound Pen	Control Sensing Operators Variables	D ↓ ↓ S	> S Cripts	orite draggable ostumes	Sounds
combine 🗏 usi	ng O O		set db 🔻	to table	
append 目 目 ◀) add thing to 目			add (list add (list set colun	bella 17 2 edward 104	to (
delete 1 of insert thing at	目 1 ▼ of 目		set colun	nn names (of db
replace item	1 ় of 目 with thin		set ages	to selec	t colum
Make a block					
add to set column na	mes of 🚺 to 🚺				
select column	from				







Reflection

- Was implementing database abstractions a difficult programming task? Hum for frustration level. • I'm so sorry. I know it's wildly frustrating
- If you're designing a new language, does putting it in a block-based editor automatically make it easy?
- Does getting out of syntax errors mean that you're not programming?
- designing, does this raise anything for you?

• It's probably been a while since you were a newcomer to programming, but this may have simulated some of that experience. If you're designing for newcomers in your final project, or for people who are uncomfortable doing the particular kind of programming for which you're

HW A Note: Doesn't (And don't worry, just bec doesn't mean we're d

- HW Assignment
- Note: Doesn't have to be in Snap!
- (And don't worry, just because we're starting HW already
 - doesn't mean we're done with structure editors!)